

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 9124.103USC1 6551 10/23/2001 Lilia Shapiro 10/004,467 EXAMINER 12/23/2003 Attention of Gregory A. Sebald ISABELLA, DAVID J MERCHANT & GOULD P.C. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. Box 2903 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903 3738

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					ag	
*		Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/0	004,467	SHAPIRO ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Exar	miner	Art Unit		
			ID J ISABELLA	3738		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this comn or Reply	unication appears o	on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ad	ldress	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUnsions of time may be available under the provis SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than this period for reply is specified above, the maximuning to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three monted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	JNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Ir ommunication. ty (30) days, a reply within t m statutory period will apply eply will, by statute, cause t ths after the mailing date of	n no event, however, may a the statutory minimum of thi y and will expire SIX (6) MOI the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timel  NTHS from the mailing date of this c  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 October 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>Claim(s) 1,2,7,9,14 and 39-43 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>Claim(s) 1,2,7,9,14 and 39-43 is/are rejected.</li> <li>Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Applicat	ion Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Informal Patent Application (PT		

Application/Control Number: 10/004,467

Art Unit: 3738

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1,2,7,9,14,39-43 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6425918. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the current application is broader in scope than the claims of the patent. Both sets of claims possess the limitations including: average pore size of about 10-300 microns; average bridging distance of about 5-270 microns; E-modulus of about 50-500kPa.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID J ISABELLA whose telephone number is 703-308-3060. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CORRINE MCDERMOTT can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax

Application/Control Number: 10/004,467

Art Unit: 3738

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

DAVID J ISABELLA Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738 Page 3

Dji

December 17,2003